

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
3
4

5 - - - - - X
6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) 20CR6074
7)
8 vs.
9 Rochester, New York
10 THEODORE LORIA,) November 16, 2020
11 Defendant. 11:00 a.m.
12 - - - - - X
13
14 SENTENCING
15 All parties appeared by the Zoom for Government Platform
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

10 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11 BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH A. WOLFORD
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

JAMES P. KENNEDY, JR., ESQ.
United States Attorney
BY: MELISSA MARANGOLA, ESQ.
Assistant United States Attorney
100 State Street
Rochester, New York 14614

MARK FOTI, ESQ.
402 Amherst Street
Buffalo, New York 14207
Appearing on behalf of the Defendant

J. FISH, USPO

COURT REPORTER: Karen J. Clark, Official Court Reporter
Karenclark1013@AOL.com
100 State Street
Rochester, New York 14614

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:02:29 2

11:03:46 3 THE CLERK: We're here in the matter of the
11:03:47 4 United States versus Theodore Loria, 20CR6074. And,
11:03:53 5 Judge, we do have some nonparties participating as well
11:03:57 6 by audio only.

11:03:58 7 THE COURT: And Karen is on?

11:04:00 8 THE CLERK: She is.

11:04:01 9 THE COURT: Good morning, everybody.

11:04:03 10 MS. MARANGOLA: Good morning, your Honor.

11:04:05 11 THE COURT: Anybody that is not speaking if
11:04:06 12 you two just mute yourself, so in other words, the
11:04:10 13 attorneys and Mr. Loria, obviously should not be muted,
11:04:15 14 but everybody else, if you could mute yourself, that
11:04:18 15 would be helpful.

11:04:19 16 We're here in the matter of the United
11:04:21 17 States versus Loria. I'm going to first state on the
11:04:26 18 record who is appearing on behalf of each party. Ms.
11:04:29 19 Marangola, you're appearing on behalf of the government?

11:04:31 20 MS. MARANGOLA: Yes, your Honor.

11:04:32 21 THE COURT: And Mr. Foti on behalf of the
11:04:35 22 defendant?

11:04:36 23 MR. FOTI: Yes, your Honor.

11:04:37 24 THE COURT: And Mr. Loria, can you hear me
11:04:40 25 okay?

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:04:41 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

11:04:42 3 THE COURT: And for the record, you are
11:04:45 4 Theodore Loria?

11:04:46 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

11:04:47 6 THE COURT: And you're represented by Mr.
11:04:49 7 Foti?

11:04:50 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

11:04:50 9 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Loria, before we
11:04:53 10 do anything else, the first question I want to ask is
11:04:57 11 whether or not you're medically able to go forward. We
11:05:02 12 are supposed to have this sentencing a few weeks ago and
11:05:05 13 I know you had some medical issues and you were on some
11:05:09 14 medication that Mr. Foti indicated were impacting your
11:05:12 15 ability to understand what was happening. Are you able
11:05:16 16 to go forward this morning?

11:05:17 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. But I'm
11:05:19 18 currently still on nerve medication for my nerves on the
11:05:23 19 left side of my body, but I'm able to go.

11:05:29 20 THE COURT: I missed the last part of what
11:05:30 21 you said.

11:05:31 22 THE DEFENDANT: I'm okay to go forward.
11:05:33 23 They still have me on some nerve medication regarding my
11:05:40 24 nervous system.

11:05:41 25 THE COURT: Is that medication impacting

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:05:42 2 your ability to understand things?

11:05:44 3 THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.

11:05:45 4 THE COURT: I think previously you were on
11:05:47 5 some medication for shingles; you were on prednisone.

11:05:51 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

11:05:52 7 THE COURT: Are you still on that?

11:05:53 8 THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.

11:05:54 9 THE COURT: So you're able to go forward
11:05:56 10 this morning?

11:05:57 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

11:05:57 12 THE COURT: All right. The second thing I
11:06:04 13 need to do, I need to make sure you consent to
11:06:07 14 proceeding by Zoom, the video we're doing. As you can
11:06:11 15 see, you're appearing by video. Everybody is appearing
11:06:14 16 by video. I'm appearing remotely. I should have noted
11:06:17 17 as well, we have Officer Fish here from Probation. Your
11:06:21 18 attorney, Ms. Marangola, everybody is appearing by
11:06:24 19 video. And I want to explain to you the arrangements
11:06:28 20 that we've made. Because of the COVID-19 pandemic,
11:06:33 21 Congress passed an emergency statute that permits
11:06:36 22 defendants in criminal cases to appear by video for
11:06:39 23 certain types of proceedings under certain
11:06:43 24 circumstances. Now, our normal procedure before this
11:06:46 25 emergency would be to have everybody physically in a

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:06:50 2 courtroom for any type of proceeding. Because of the
11:06:55 3 health risks associated with people being in a courtroom
11:07:00 4 together and transporting somebody who is in a jail
11:07:06 5 facility, transporting them to the courtroom, we're
11:07:08 6 giving defendants an option if they want to proceed
11:07:14 7 remotely. Now, it's entirely up to you if you want to
11:07:17 8 proceed remotely. If you wanted to, we could move
11:07:21 9 forward and conduct this appearance physically in court.
11:07:26 10 We are conducting court proceedings, Mr. Foti and I, we
11:07:31 11 just wrapped up a two week or so trial that we had over
11:07:34 12 the past couple of weeks where we were all physically in
11:07:38 13 court with jurors and so forth. So if you wanted to
11:07:41 14 proceed in person, we certainly could make arrangements
11:07:45 15 to do that. I want to also point out that because of us
11:07:50 16 proceeding remotely, this impacts, to some degree, the
11:07:57 17 ability of the public to attend this proceeding. Now,
11:08:01 18 we're permitting the public to have audio access to this
11:08:05 19 proceeding. And my courtroom deputy has confirmed there
11:08:08 20 are some members of the public that are participating.
11:08:11 21 I should note for the record that this
11:08:13 22 proceeding may not be recorded or republished in any
11:08:17 23 way. If anyone was to do so, it would be unlawful and
11:08:21 24 may constitute a contempt of court. So let me first ask
11:08:25 25 Ms. Marangola, do you agree with my recitation of the

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:08:28 2 circumstances under which we're proceeding this morning?

11:08:31 3 MS. MARANGOLA: I do, your Honor.

11:08:32 4 THE COURT: Mr. Foti, do you agree?

11:08:35 5 MR. FOTI: I do as well.

11:08:37 6 THE COURT: Mr. Loria, do you understand

11:08:41 7 that you have the right to be physically present in open
11:08:45 8 court for your sentencing today?

11:08:47 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

11:08:48 10 THE COURT: You understand that you have the
11:08:49 11 right to consult with Mr. Foti during the proceeding
11:08:53 12 today. And if you need to do that, let me know and we
11:08:56 13 can make arrangements for the two of you to go into
11:09:00 14 what's called a breakout room and have a confidential
11:09:04 15 communication. Do you understand that.

11:09:05 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

11:09:05 17 THE COURT: Do you understand, Mr. Loria,
11:09:06 18 that your family members and other supporters have the
11:09:10 19 right to attend this proceeding if they wanted to?

11:09:13 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

11:09:14 21 THE COURT: Have you consulted with Mr. Foti
11:09:17 22 about waiving your right to appear in person and
11:09:19 23 agreeing to proceed by video?

11:09:21 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. I chose video.

11:09:24 25 THE COURT: Okay. And you have agreed, just

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:09:27 2 so the record is clear, you're agreeing to waive your
11:09:30 3 right to appear in person and instead agree to be
11:09:33 4 proceed by video?

11:09:34 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

11:09:35 6 THE COURT: And do you also agree that to
11:09:38 7 the extent that your right to public access to this
11:09:41 8 proceeding is in anyway impaired, you waive that right?

11:09:47 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

11:09:48 10 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Marangola, any
11:09:52 11 reason that you believe I should not accept this waiver?

11:09:55 12 MS. MARANGOLA: No.

11:09:56 13 THE COURT: Mr. Foti, any reason that you
11:09:58 14 believe I should not accept this waiver?

11:10:00 15 MR. FOTI: No, your Honor.

11:10:00 16 THE COURT: All right. I do specifically
11:10:02 17 find that the sentencing today cannot be further delayed
11:10:06 18 without serious harm to the interest of justice.
11:10:09 19 Because a delay could impact the defendant's and the
11:10:12 20 public's right to a timely resolution of this matter and
11:10:17 21 impede the effective administration of justice. I find
11:10:20 22 that Mr. Loria has knowingly and voluntarily waived his
11:10:24 23 right to appear physically and he has knowingly and
11:10:28 24 voluntarily agreed to proceed by video using the Zoom
11:10:33 25 for Government platform. I further find that the

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:10:35 2 measures taken to provide the public access to this
11:10:42 3 proceeding are reasonable under the circumstances. And
11:10:44 4 that to the extent that the defendant's right to public
11:10:47 5 access to this proceeding is in any way impaired, he has
11:10:51 6 knowingly and voluntarily waived that right. So I will
11:10:54 7 accept Mr. Loria's waiver and we can now proceed with
11:10:57 8 the sentencing.

11:11:00 9 So, let me state, first of all, on the
11:11:03 10 record what I've received and reviewed in connection
11:11:05 11 with the sentencing. I have the Presentence
11:11:11 12 Investigation Report dated August 5th, 2020; Mr. Loria's
11:11:16 13 objections to the Presentence Investigation Report that
11:11:19 14 were filed at docket 35; the defendant's statement of
11:11:24 15 sentencing factors filed at docket 42; and then the
11:11:27 16 statement of the government with respect to sentencing
11:11:29 17 factors that was filed at docket 32.

11:11:33 18 So let me first state on the record, Ms.
11:11:36 19 Marangola, can you confirm for me, first of all, that
11:11:39 20 I've stated everything that was submitted in connection
11:11:41 21 with sentencing?

11:11:43 22 MS. MARANGOLA: That's accurate, yes, Judge.
11:11:44 23 THE COURT: And can you confirm for me that
11:11:46 24 the government received the Presentence Investigation
11:11:49 25 Report?

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:11:49 2 MS. MARANGOLA: I have, your Honor.

11:11:51 3 THE COURT: And does the government have any
11:11:52 4 objections to the report other than the fact that the
11:11:56 5 calculations are different than what was in the plea
11:11:59 6 agreement?

11:11:59 7 MS. MARANGOLA: No objections, Judge.

11:12:01 8 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Foti, can you confirm
11:12:03 9 for me that I stated everything that was submitted in
11:12:06 10 connection with sentencing?

11:12:08 11 MR. FOTI: That is correct.

11:12:09 12 THE COURT: And can you confirm for me that
11:12:12 13 you received the Presentence Investigation Report and
11:12:14 14 reviewed it with your client?

11:12:15 15 MR. FOTI: I did.

11:12:16 16 THE COURT: Mr. Loria, can you confirm for
11:12:19 17 me that you reviewed the Presentence Investigation
11:12:21 18 Report with your attorney?

11:12:22 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

11:12:23 20 THE COURT: All right. Now, Mr. Foti, you
11:12:25 21 had submitted some objections to the Presentence
11:12:28 22 Investigation Report. Why don't we talk about those
11:12:30 23 right now before we go forward. The first objection is
11:12:36 24 to the inclusion of the restitution letter from Sharon
11:12:42 25 Stiller, the attorney representing the victim, correct,

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:12:45 2 Mr. Foti?

11:12:46 3 MR. FOTI: Yes.

11:12:47 4 THE COURT: Anything else you want to put on
11:12:48 5 the record about that?

11:12:49 6 MR. FOTI: No, I'll rest on the papers.

11:12:54 7 Obviously, I recognize that the revised final PSR notes
11:13:00 8 the stipulated amount as I think I documented in the
11:13:05 9 objections. It's really to the inclusion of the letter
11:13:09 10 at this point where Ms. Stiller identifies what her
11:13:13 11 legal expenses were and other costs were that she
11:13:17 12 associates as being restitution in this case.

11:13:20 13 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Marangola, the
11:13:22 14 victim has agreed to the restitution figure that has
11:13:25 15 been stipulated?

11:13:26 16 MS. MARANGOLA: Yes, Judge.

11:13:29 17 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule this
11:13:31 18 objection to including the restitution letter. First of
11:13:34 19 all, I think under -- I don't think. I'm finding under
11:13:41 20 Rule 32(i)(3)(B) that a ruling is unnecessary because
11:13:50 21 the restitution figures that Ms. Stiller includes in her
11:13:54 22 letter are not going to impact the sentencing because of
11:13:57 23 the parties' stipulation to the restitution figure which
11:14:00 24 I think is reasonable under the circumstances. I also
11:14:02 25 note under 18 U.S.C. Section 3661, there should be no

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:14:07 2 limitation placed on the information that I consider in
11:14:10 3 connection with sentencing about the background and so
11:14:14 4 forth. And I think Ms. Stiller's letter is relevant to
11:14:17 5 the extent that she notes this civil litigation that
11:14:21 6 apparently was commenced by Mr. Loria by against the
11:14:24 7 victim that was, sounds like, not only tossed out of
11:14:30 8 court, but there was an injunction issued against Mr.
11:14:33 9 Loria from commencing any further litigation, which is a
11:14:36 10 pretty drastic remedy. And I think that is relevant for
11:14:42 11 me to consider in relation to sentencing. So the
11:14:46 12 objection is overruled.

11:14:47 13 Mr. Foti, you also have an objection related
11:14:50 14 to background information, but I think that has been
11:14:52 15 resolved. Is that correct?

11:14:54 16 MR. FOTI: That is, yes, that is correct.

11:14:58 17 THE COURT: Okay. So then, the outstanding
11:15:02 18 objections relate to including the other arrests in the
11:15:06 19 Presentence Investigation Report. And then the
11:15:09 20 paragraph in the Presentence Investigation Report
11:15:13 21 regarding the inadequacy -- indicating that I could
11:15:18 22 consider the inadequacy of Mr. Loria's criminal history
11:15:24 23 based on his extensive criminal history that doesn't
11:15:28 24 result in any points. Do you want to add anything on
11:15:31 25 the record about that?

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:15:32 2 MR. FOTI: No. I'll rest on my papers in
11:15:37 3 both regards. Obviously, they are related, the
11:15:40 4 inclusion of other arrests which are ultimately
11:15:44 5 incorporated in the number that the PSR suggests is
11:15:50 6 qualifying for the Court's consideration of an upward
11:15:56 7 departure. I think that they are considered sort of in
11:16:00 8 conjunction with each other and in terms of why we
11:16:04 9 object, I think we laid those out in the paper, but I
11:16:07 10 can answer any questions if the Court has any.

11:16:10 11 THE COURT: No, I don't. I'm going to
11:16:11 12 overrule the objection to the extent you're objecting
11:16:14 13 to, including the other arrests in the PSR. That is
11:16:18 14 clearly something that is relevant and should be and
11:16:23 15 typically is considered. So under 18 U.S.C. Section
11:16:28 16 3661, it's appropriate to include information, not just
11:16:31 17 about convictions, but also about arrests so that a
11:16:35 18 court at least has a full picture of the defendant's
11:16:38 19 background. Now, in terms of the potential upward
11:16:43 20 departure. Obviously, I'm not going to accept the
11:16:47 21 agreed upon 24-month prison sentence then Mr. Loria has
11:16:52 22 the right to back out of the plea agreement. So I think
11:16:55 23 it's really unnecessary to resolve that issue because
11:17:01 24 we're going to address that right now and that is
11:17:04 25 whether or not I should accept the plea agreement. And,

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:17:10 2 obviously, the parties' plea agreement stipulated that
11:17:22 3 the Court would impose a 24-month prison sentence. If I
11:17:26 4 were to accept that, that would be below the calculated
11:17:30 5 Guideline range that is in the Presentence Investigation
11:17:34 6 Report that nobody is objecting to per se, those
11:17:38 7 calculations in the Presentence Investigation Report
11:17:44 8 because they are correct. I have looked at this case,
11:17:47 9 and for reasons that I will explain further on the
11:17:51 10 record, I would only agree to accept a 24-month prison
11:17:59 11 sentence if I also imposed, as part of a sentence, a
11:18:07 12 supervised release term that includes the six months of
11:18:09 13 home detention as recommended in the Presentence
11:18:13 14 Investigation Report. Now, there was no objection to
11:18:20 15 that in any of the filings. And I don't read the plea
11:18:24 16 agreement as impacting the terms and conditions or the
11:18:27 17 length of a supervised release term, but I guess I want
11:18:31 18 to confirm that on the record with counsel before we go
11:18:35 19 forward.

11:18:37 20 MS. MARANGOLA: That is correct, your Honor.
11:18:39 21 The only agreement is the 24-month imprisonment
11:18:47 22 sentence, meaning in custody. We didn't agree on any
11:18:52 23 restrictions on advocating for a supervised release
11:18:55 24 term.

11:18:55 25 THE COURT: Mr. Foti, do you agree with

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:18:57 2 that?

11:18:58 3 MR. FOTI: That is correct, yes.

11:19:00 4 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So given that
11:19:04 5 and given the fact that I do have discretion to impose
11:19:09 6 certain conditions and a length of supervised release, I
11:19:13 7 will accept the plea agreement and I will agree to
11:19:16 8 impose a 24-month prison sentence, which would be a
11:19:20 9 below Guideline sentence in this case. And I'll explain
11:19:25 10 my reasoning further as we move forward.

11:19:27 11 With that being said, Mr. Foti is the
11:19:29 12 defendant ready to move forward with sentencing?

11:19:33 13 MR. FOTI: Yes, we are. Mr. Loria, you okay
11:19:36 14 or do you need --

11:19:37 15 THE DEFENDANT: I need to speak to you for
11:19:40 16 one minute. Is that possible?

11:19:41 17 THE COURT: Yes, that is possible. My
11:19:43 18 courtroom deputy should be able to put the two of you
11:19:47 19 into a breakout room. So, keep our fingers crossed and
11:19:52 20 hope that works.

11:19:53 21 THE CLERK: Judge, give me one movement.

11:22:51 22 MR. FOTI: Thank you, Judge.

11:22:51 23 (Whereupon, Mr. Foti and the defendant went
11:22:51 24 off the record to confer.)

11:22:52 25 MR. FOTI: Mr. Loria had a question because

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:22:54 2 the letter from Ms. Stiller is going to stay in the PSR,
11:22:58 3 he wasn't clear on whether that impacts the stipulated
11:23:02 4 restitution figure. I addressed it with him and I think
11:23:06 5 he understands that it's included as background
11:23:08 6 information and we're ready to go forward with
11:23:10 7 sentencing at this time.

11:23:11 8 THE COURT: Yeah. And to confirm, my plan
11:23:14 9 is to impose restitution in the amount of that was
11:23:18 10 stipulated amount and not to of -- I'm not considering
11:23:25 11 the letter to the extent.

11:23:27 12 THE DEFENDANT: I misunderstood, I'm sorry.
11:23:28 13 THE COURT: That's fine. So are you ready
11:23:31 14 to go forward, Mr. Loria?

11:23:33 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

11:23:33 16 THE COURT: Does the government move to
11:23:35 17 sentence the defendant?

11:23:36 18 MS. MARANGOLA: The government so moves,
11:23:37 19 your Honor.

11:23:38 20 THE COURT: Ms. Marangola, anything you
11:23:40 21 would like to say before I sentence Mr. Loria?

11:23:42 22 MS. MARANGOLA: Just very briefly, your
11:23:44 23 Honor. As has been pointed out by the Court, the
11:23:47 24 parties have agreed on a 24-month sentence and a
11:23:50 25 restitution amount of 8,249.70. I'll obviously answer

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:23:57 2 any inquiry by the Court. I'll note this is a very
11:24:01 3 unusual case. Mr. Foti and I have discussed this case
11:24:05 4 at length to come up with an appropriate resolution. I
11:24:09 5 note I spoke with the victim and met with her multiple
11:24:13 6 times to discuss the outcome. She is really at a point
11:24:17 7 she wants to move on with her life. She didn't want to
11:24:20 8 engage any further in litigation because she didn't want
11:24:23 9 to come face to face with the defendant or fight about
11:24:26 10 it. And as the Court is aware, this charge only carries
11:24:30 11 a maximum sentence of five years imprisonment, which,
11:24:34 12 frankly, is not a lot to work with. So we came up with
11:24:39 13 a resolution that we thought was fair to both parties.
11:24:45 14 With that said, I will be requesting a three-year period
11:24:49 15 of supervised release. The victim in this case is
11:24:51 16 concerned, obviously, when he gets out of prison that
11:24:53 17 she may be contacted again. I'm glad the Court brought
11:24:58 18 up its decision to impose the six-month home
11:25:01 19 incarceration. I think that will provide some solace to
11:25:07 20 the victim in this case. And I would just ask that
11:25:09 21 potentially, not home incarceration, but potentially
11:25:13 22 either a bracelet or something like that be extended if
11:25:16 23 probation thinks it's necessary and the Court thinks
11:25:20 24 it's necessarily beyond that. Other than that, outside
11:25:24 25 of inquiry, I have nothing to add.

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:25:26 2 THE COURT: Just a couple of points of
11:25:28 3 clarification. The restitution figure is 50 cents or 70
11:25:34 4 cents? I had 50 written down.

11:25:39 5 MS. MARANGOLA: Fifty cents.

11:25:39 6 THE COURT: 8249.70?

11:25:43 7 MS. MARANGOLA: Yes.

11:25:43 8 THE COURT: Do you agree with that, Mr.
11:25:45 9 Foti?

11:25:46 10 MR. FOTI: You might have gotten it from my
11:25:48 11 filings because I have the 50 cents written down, but
11:25:55 12 we're not going to object to the additional 20 cents.

11:26:00 13 THE COURT: Okay. So if we're all in
11:26:02 14 agreement it's 8249.70, right?

11:26:06 15 MR. FOTI: That's fine, yes.

11:26:07 16 THE COURT: And just the proposed special
11:26:10 17 condition in the PSR is home detention subject to
11:26:16 18 electronic monitoring, which isn't as severe as home
11:26:20 19 incarceration. It would allow Mr. Loria to be out for
11:26:24 20 medical appointments and court appearances if necessary
11:26:27 21 and religious services.

11:26:30 22 MS. MARANGOLA: That's fine, your Honor.
11:26:33 23 That's fine.

11:26:33 24 THE COURT: The government is fine with
11:26:35 25 that?

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:26:36 2 MS. MARANGOLA: Yes. And he is going to be
11:26:37 3 subject to GPS, so we would know he is not in the
11:26:41 4 vicinity of the victim, that is all we care about.

11:26:44 5 THE COURT: Fair enough. Thank you, Ms.
11:26:45 6 Marangola. Mr. Foti, anything you would like to say on
11:26:48 7 behalf of your client before I sentence him?

11:26:50 8 MR. FOTI: Judge, I think I tried to layout
11:26:52 9 in the sentencing statement as carefully as I could the
11:26:58 10 considerations that I think are relevant under 18 U.S.C.
11:27:02 11 3553. I'll just reiterate, Mr. Loria has been emotional
11:27:12 12 throughout this process. And part of that is based on
11:27:15 13 his mental health issues that he has been trying to deal
11:27:19 14 with. Part of it is as I mentioned in the filings sort
11:27:22 15 of the emotional impact of being separated from Blue,
11:27:26 16 his dog, and his general embarrassment and shame that he
11:27:32 17 feels as a result of this. He said, when interviewed in
11:27:36 18 the PSR, that he is ashamed of his actions, and I
11:27:39 19 believe that that is the terminology used. And whether
11:27:43 20 it was or not, that is the terminology used as recently
11:27:47 21 as this morning when we talked about it. I think there
11:27:50 22 are things that he is still working through in terms of
11:27:57 23 the relationship that he was in and the way he responded
11:28:03 24 to certain things, and it's something that he'll
11:28:06 25 probably always have to work through. But it's

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:28:09 2 something he has been working hard at since the arrest
11:28:12 3 and continue -- he has demonstrated and indicated a
11:28:16 4 continued commitment to doing so. Twenty-four months is
11:28:21 5 not a short period of time. On the other hand, he also
11:28:24 6 understands that the charge could have carried more time
11:28:28 7 and the important thing for him is focusing on
11:28:33 8 rehabilitation, engaging in treatment, engaging in
11:28:38 9 prescribed medication and doing what he needs to do when
11:28:42 10 released to supervised release and well after that to
11:28:47 11 move on and to stay out of trouble. I can only say in
11:28:51 12 terms of my interactions with Mr. Loria, he has always
11:28:55 13 been respectful to me. I've known him for some time
11:28:59 14 before this. But he has remained respectful even in
11:29:02 15 this situation, no matter how much stress there was. He
11:29:06 16 has, in my opinion, always demonstrated a respect
11:29:10 17 towards the process and towards the parties involved and
11:29:14 18 I think he is somebody who is capable of learning from
11:29:17 19 this and trying to move in the right direction going
11:29:21 20 forward. So we appreciate the consideration given to
11:29:24 21 the plea discussions. We appreciate the consideration
11:29:29 22 the Court has given. We're ready to move forward. I
11:29:32 23 know I did -- I did ask him this morning about whether
11:29:35 24 he would intend to expound upon what he has expressed
11:29:39 25 that he feels shame here. And I think it's hard for him

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:29:44 2 to talk about this without him becoming emotional, at
11:29:49 3 least as of this morning he indicated that I reiterate
11:29:54 4 that is how he feels. I don't think he intends to speak
11:29:57 5 beyond that about it. That is consistently how he has
11:30:01 6 felt and it still is at this point and he is ready to
11:30:04 7 move forward.

11:30:05 8 THE COURT: Let me ask you a question. You
11:30:08 9 make a reference in your submission of the defendant and
11:30:19 10 Charlie Tan, and Mr. Loria believing that the victim had
11:30:19 11 conspired, I guess, with Mr. Tan to potentially kill Mr.
11:30:24 12 Loria because of the life insurance policy. And I'm
11:30:27 13 having trouble understanding, I guess, the rationale for
11:30:30 14 this because I went and looked at the Northern District
11:30:34 15 of New York to confirm my recollection of that criminal
11:30:39 16 proceeding against Mr. Tan. And as of September 2017,
11:30:43 17 he was incarcerated in Federal Court or in the federal
11:30:48 18 case in the Northern District of New York. And yet the
11:30:53 19 time frame for the harassment here, the cyber stalking,
11:31:01 20 was June of 2017 through August of 2019. So what am I
11:31:06 21 missing?

11:31:08 22 MR. FOTI: So, I think it was to the extent
11:31:10 23 I talked about that I was trying to provide background
11:31:14 24 information into sort of where the inception of this
11:31:19 25 issue begins. And the only reason, I think the only

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:31:23 2 reason I did that was because I think if the Court is
11:31:28 3 aware of that, the Court can see or make certain
11:31:31 4 determinations as to how some of this behavior was tied
11:31:36 5 to mental health issues as opposed to a visceral
11:31:41 6 reaction to a relationship falling apart. I think that
11:31:48 7 it became clear, maybe not at the inception of the case,
11:31:50 8 but after the cellular extractions were provided, that
11:31:54 9 in terms of the time frame, the beginning of the
11:31:59 10 problematic aspects of this relationship that seems to
11:32:03 11 sort of overwhelm a lot of the behavior that follows the
11:32:07 12 end of the relationship really stems from this situation
11:32:14 13 that took place at Christmas where there was an
11:32:20 14 invitation extended to Mr. Tan to join them for
11:32:25 15 Christmas, which was something rejected by Mr. Loria,
11:32:28 16 and resulted in a pretty aggressive disagreement. That,
11:32:36 17 kind of, plants the seeds for what follows. It's not
11:32:41 18 meant to a justification in any way, and Mr. Loria has,
11:32:44 19 obviously, pled guilty and his intent is to fully take
11:32:49 20 responsibility here. And since that is from his
11:32:53 21 perspective, and I think it's backed up by the evidence
11:32:56 22 that I reviewed, where this sort of starts it seems
11:32:59 23 relevant to at least reference that and mention that
11:33:02 24 being part of what leads to all of this.

11:33:05 25 THE COURT: This was Christmas of 2016?

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:33:11 2 MR. FOTI: Yes, yes.

11:33:12 3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Foti.

11:33:14 4 Mr. Loria, the law allows to you address me
11:33:16 5 before I sentence you. Is there anything that you would
11:33:19 6 like to say?

11:33:20 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. Regarding my
11:33:22 8 supervised release for the three years, if you could
11:33:26 9 order that I have the ankle monitor on me for the entire
11:33:31 10 three years because people like to lie on me, and so
11:33:35 11 that would, if somebody lied and said I was somewhere I
11:33:39 12 wasn't supposed to be, that would show exactly where I
11:33:42 13 was. My sister will pay for that three-year cost of
11:33:46 14 having that bracelet on me. I would ask you to order
11:33:49 15 that I be monitored for the three years of supervised
11:33:53 16 release with the GPS, which my sister will pay for. So
11:33:57 17 if anybody makes a lie up and says I was somewhere where
11:34:01 18 I wasn't, that GPS will corroborate I wasn't there.

11:34:06 19 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Foti, what is your
11:34:08 20 position on that?

11:34:09 21 MR. FOTI: Judge, it wasn't something Mr.
11:34:11 22 Loria and I spoke about before today. I guess I
11:34:16 23 understand where he is coming from. If the Court is
11:34:20 24 going to order six months of home detention with the
11:34:22 25 ankle bracelet, my request would be impose that, and I

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:34:27 2 can speak to Mr. Loria and he can speak with his
11:34:30 3 probation officer, I guess, if there is an extent to
11:34:33 4 extend the electronic monitoring, although it would be
11:34:34 5 unusual for the defendant to make that request, I think
11:34:40 6 we can make that request at that time. I would rather
11:34:43 7 proceed with the six months of home detention with
11:34:47 8 electronic monitoring. I don't dispute anything Mr.
11:34:50 9 Loria is asking for. I think it's a request that we can
11:34:55 10 make later after he has gotten out and he receives
11:34:58 11 treatment and we evaluate where things are.

11:35:01 12 THE COURT: Mr. Loria, the way GPS
11:35:05 13 monitoring works, I'm going to put you on home detention
11:35:08 14 initially, which means you won't be able to leave the
11:35:11 15 house unless specifically authorized by probation and is
11:35:14 16 within the scope of the authorized terms. I'm going to
11:35:17 17 -- to do that for three years doesn't make sense, and
11:35:20 18 then maybe put you on a curfew for three years, I think
11:35:26 19 I agree with Mr. Foti, it's probably best, let's see how
11:35:30 20 it goes once you're released. And if you want to make a
11:35:33 21 request to extend it and everybody is in agreement on
11:35:35 22 that and you're willing to pay for it, then we can deal
11:35:39 23 with it when that happens.

11:35:42 24 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. Other than, as
11:35:43 25 Mr. Foti said, I'm ashamed of my actions. I handled the

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:35:47 2 situation wrong. I should have handled it extremely
11:35:50 3 different.

11:35:51 4 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Loria.

11:35:53 5 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, ma'am.

11:35:54 6 THE COURT: I am prepared to sentence you in
11:35:55 7 that regard. As I indicated, I have had an opportunity
11:35:58 8 to review the Presentence Investigation Report as
11:36:01 9 revised August 5th, 2020, as well as all of the other
11:36:06 10 submissions to which I previously referred. I carefully
11:36:09 11 reviewed all of the submissions. I listened carefully
11:36:12 12 to all of the comments that have been made here today as
11:36:16 13 well as considered the victim's statements contained in
11:36:18 14 the Presentence Investigation Report.

11:36:21 15 So, you're 53 years old, Mr. Loria. And
11:36:24 16 you're being sentenced after pleading guilty to cyber
11:36:28 17 stalking in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 2261A(b) (2)
11:36:37 18 and (b) (5) or 2261(b) (5). This is considered a class D
11:36:40 19 felony. The maximum sentence that I can impose is five
11:36:44 20 years in prison, a \$250,000 fine and up to three years
11:37:05 21 of supervised release.

11:37:05 22 Now, on June 3rd, 2020, you appeared by
11:37:07 23 Zoom, same way we are proceeding today, and you pled
11:37:07 24 guilty. Your plea was pursuant to a Rule 11(c) (1) (C)
11:37:07 25 plea agreement and requested that I impose a 24-month

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:37:13 2 prison sentence. The deal was if I did not go along
11:37:15 3 with that, that you and the government could withdraw
11:37:20 4 from that plea agreement. As I indicated, I'm going to
11:37:23 5 accept that plea agreement.

11:37:24 6 Sentencing, Mr. Loria, is pursuant to the
11:37:26 7 Sentencing Reform Act of 1984. In deciding on a
11:37:29 8 reasonable and appropriate sentence, I have a
11:37:32 9 responsibility to impose a sentence that is considered
11:37:35 10 sufficient, but not greater than necessary to comply
11:37:40 11 with the objectives of sentencing set forth in 18 U.S.C.
11:37:44 12 Section 3553(a). Mr. Foti has raised certain objections
11:37:49 13 to the Presentence Investigation Report. He indicated
11:37:59 14 that he went over that report and there were certain
11:38:02 15 objections that I resolved, as I indicated on the
11:38:04 16 record, and, therefore, I'm going to adopt the
11:38:06 17 statements contained the Presentence Investigation
11:38:08 18 Report as my findings of fact.

11:38:10 19 Now, the Sentencing Guidelines are no longer
11:38:12 20 mandatory, they are advisory. But they are the first
11:38:15 21 step that I have to go through before I impose a
11:38:19 22 sentence. And I do find the calculations and
11:38:20 23 recommendations set forth in the Presentence
11:38:24 24 Investigation Report are correct. So what that means is
11:38:26 25 that pursuant to 2A6.2(a) of the Sentencing Guidelines,

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:38:30 2 there is a base offense level here of 18. Because you
11:38:35 3 engaged in a pattern of stalking and harassing the
11:38:39 4 victim involving text messages, phone calls, physical
11:38:42 5 surveillance, there is a two-level increase to that base
11:38:46 6 offense level pursuant to 2A6.2(b)(1)(E). I do find you
11:38:53 7 accepted responsibility for your conduct, so pursuant to
11:38:59 8 the 3E1.1(a) and 3E1.1(b), I'm going to reduce the
11:39:00 9 offense level by three. So your total offense level is
11:39:03 10 a 17. Your criminal history, based on the criminal
11:39:06 11 history documented in the Presentence Investigation
11:39:09 12 Report, is a category II. So what that means is that
11:39:12 13 the Sentencing Guidelines, with an offense level of 17,
11:39:15 14 criminal history category of II, the Sentencing
11:39:19 15 Guidelines would recommend a prison sentence of 27 to 33
11:39:22 16 months, one to three years of supervised release, and a
11:39:25 17 fine of \$10,000 up to \$95,000.

11:39:29 18 Now, in addition to the Sentencing
11:39:31 19 Guidelines, I've also considered all of the other
11:39:33 20 factors set forth at 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a) to
11:39:38 21 determine a sentence that is sufficient but not greater
11:39:40 22 than necessary to comply with the objectives of
11:39:43 23 sentencing set forth in that statute. I've considered
11:39:46 24 the nature and circumstances of your crime, your history
11:39:48 25 and characteristics. Your mental health issues are

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:39:53 2 documented in the Presentence Investigation Report as
11:39:55 3 well as your attorney's filings on your behalf. And I
11:40:01 4 think they are clearly significant and there is no
11:40:03 5 question that some of your criminal history, and I think
11:40:07 6 conduct in this case, can be attributable to those
11:40:11 7 mental health issues. Your criminal history dates back
11:40:16 8 to the age of 12. You had your first felony conviction
11:40:20 9 after a trial back in October of 1988, but that was
11:40:24 10 reversed on appeal. So it looks as though the first
11:40:30 11 felony conviction that actually stuck, so to speak, was
11:40:33 12 in 1993. Your most recent criminal felony conviction
11:40:38 13 before this conviction was December of 2000 related to
11:40:45 14 drug trafficking crimes. You were sentenced to 5 to 10
11:40:48 15 years of prison. There were various violations of
11:40:50 16 parole before your parole expired in 2009. Disciplinary
11:40:57 17 infractions while you were incarcerated. Clearly you
11:41:00 18 look at your criminal history, it shows mental health
11:41:03 19 issues, but it also shows a disregard for the law. And
11:41:07 20 that is concerning because I do believe that unless you
11:41:14 21 keep up with your mental health treatment and take the
11:41:18 22 medication that you need and stay on top of that, you're
11:41:22 23 going to revert back to this history that you've had and
11:41:26 24 run into further problems with the criminal justice
11:41:30 25 system.

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:41:31 2 I've considered -- you obviously have a very
11:41:34 3 supportive sister. And you're very fortunate to have
11:41:37 4 her involved in your life. No question about that.
11:41:41 5 I've considered the circumstances of this offense. I
11:41:48 6 mean, you read the victim impact statement, and it's
11:41:51 7 just devastating the impact that your conduct had on
11:41:55 8 this victim. But not just the victim, her whole family.
11:41:59 9 I mean, it included threatening her 10-year-old daughter
11:42:03 10 and that is just horrible conduct that, unfortunately,
11:42:07 11 is the type of criminal activity that has a lasting
11:42:12 12 impact on a victim. And I'm sure is going to take years
11:42:19 13 for her and her family to be able to get beyond this.
11:42:25 14 The conduct occurred over the course of two years from
11:42:30 15 June of 2017 to August of 2019. And, again, I credit
11:42:38 16 the fact that your mental health history contributed to
11:42:42 17 this. But that doesn't excuse the behavior. Because
11:42:45 18 we're all responsible for our own conduct. And to
11:42:53 19 engage in this kind of conduct with somebody who you
11:42:57 20 cared about at one point in time, and whose family you
11:43:00 21 cared about at one point in time, I just -- it's very
11:43:05 22 devastating and harmful. And it takes advantage of
11:43:09 23 people's vulnerability. And it takes advantage
11:43:12 24 particularly of women, I think, and their
11:43:17 25 vulnerabilities, and their feeling threatened, and they

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:43:19 2 are feeling exposed and potentially subject to danger.
11:43:22 3 And I personally think that this five-year mandatory
11:43:30 4 maximum sentence is far too low for this type of crime.
11:43:34 5 I don't often say that. Usually my issues with
11:43:38 6 prescribed incarceration sentences and congressional
11:43:42 7 statutes is with mandatory minimums that require a
11:43:46 8 sentence at a particular level. I think sometimes those
11:43:49 9 are not appropriate. But five years, I don't think,
11:43:53 10 when you consider the type of harm that cyber stalking
11:43:57 11 can do, I don't think that is appropriate nor does it
11:44:01 12 reflect the harm that it can cause to society. And I
11:44:05 13 considered the need for the sentence imposed to reflect
11:44:07 14 the seriousness of the offense, promote respect for the
11:44:10 15 law, provide just punishment for the offense, afford
11:44:16 16 adequate deterrence, protect the public from any other
11:44:19 17 further crimes that you might commit. The need to
11:44:23 18 provide you with educational or vocational training,
11:44:25 19 medical care or other types of treatment in the most
11:44:28 20 effective manner as well as the kinds of sentences
11:44:32 21 available. The need to avoid unwarranted sentencing
11:44:35 22 disparities among defendants that have been found guilty
11:44:37 23 of similar conduct. Based on my consideration of all of
11:44:41 24 those factors, I do find that a 24-month prison
11:44:44 25 sentence, with a three year term of supervised release

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:44:46 2 to follow, with at least six months of that supervised
11:44:50 3 release term you serving on home detention subject to
11:44:55 4 GPS monitoring, I find that is sufficient but not
11:44:58 5 greater than necessary to comply with the objectives of
11:45:02 6 sentencing set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

11:45:04 7 So, therefore, it's the judgment of the
11:45:06 8 Court, that you Theodore Loria, are hereby committed to
11:45:10 9 the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for 24 months. The
11:45:14 10 cost of the incarceration fee is waived. I'm going to
11:45:17 11 place you on supervised release for three years. You
11:45:19 12 must report to the probation office in the federal
11:45:21 13 judicial district where you are authorized to reside
11:45:25 14 within 72 hours of your release from prison unless the
11:45:28 15 probation officer instructs yo to report to a different
11:45:33 16 probation office or within a different time frame.
11:45:35 17 While on supervised release, you shall not commit
11:45:37 18 another federal, state or local crime and shall be
11:45:40 19 prohibited from possessing a firearm, ammunition or
11:45:42 20 other dangerous device. In addition, you shall not
11:45:46 21 possess a controlled substance, shall comply with the
11:45:48 22 standard conditions that have been adopted by this
11:45:51 23 Court, and shall comply with the following additional
11:45:54 24 conditions. You shall cooperate in the collection of a
11:45:57 25 DNA sample as required by the Justice for All Act of

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:46:00 2 2004. Drug testing is required by the 1994 Crime
11:46:07 3 Control Act. I'm going to require that you participate
11:46:09 4 in a program for domestic violence pursuant to 18 U.S.C.
11:46:15 5 Section 3583(d). In addition you shall comply with the
11:46:19 6 conditions of home detention, which will be monitored by
11:46:22 7 a global positioning satellite system for a period of
11:46:27 8 six months. You shall wear and/or carry an electronic
11:46:32 9 monitoring device and follow monitoring procedures
11:46:36 10 specified by your probation officer as outlined in
11:46:39 11 probation form 61. You shall pay a portion or total
11:46:43 12 cost of GPS services at the daily rate provided by the
11:46:48 13 U.S. Probation office. And as we indicated, if after or
11:46:51 14 prior to the expiration of the six months, it is deemed
11:46:55 15 appropriate that that period should be extended, we
11:46:59 16 certainly can do that. You shall participate in a
11:47:01 17 mental health treatment program, including a mental
11:47:04 18 health evaluation and any treatment recommended. The
11:47:08 19 probation officer will supervise the details of any
11:47:12 20 testing and treatment, including the selection of a
11:47:14 21 provider and schedule. If inpatient treatment is
11:47:18 22 recommended, however, it must be approved by the Court
11:47:21 23 unless you consent. You're not to leave treatment until
11:47:25 24 complete or is ordered by the Court.
11:47:27 25 While in treatment or taking psychotropic

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:47:30 2 medication, you shall abstain from the use of alcohol.
11:47:33 3 You're required to contribute to the cost of services
11:47:36 4 rendered. You shall submit to a search of your person,
11:47:39 5 property, vehicle, place of residence or any other
11:47:41 6 property under your control based upon reasonable
11:47:44 7 suspicion and permit confiscation of any evidence or
11:47:48 8 contraband discovered.

11:47:50 9 You shall not have any contact, directly or
11:47:53 10 indirectly with the victim MC, her family members or her
11:47:58 11 employment. You shall not use or possess any computer,
11:48:01 12 data storage device or any internet capable device
11:48:05 13 unless you participate in a computer and internet
11:48:08 14 monitoring program or unless authorized by the Court or
11:48:12 15 the U.S. Probation office. You must provide the U.S.
11:48:15 16 Probation office advance notification of any computer
11:48:18 17 automated services or connected devices that will be
11:48:22 18 used during the term of supervision. The U.S. Probation
11:48:26 19 office is authorized to install any application as
11:48:28 20 necessary to surveil all activity on computers or
11:48:37 21 connected devices owned or operated by you. You'll be
11:48:39 22 required to pay the cost of monitoring services. The
11:48:42 23 U.S. Probation Office shall be notified by electronic
11:48:46 24 transmission of impermissible, suspicious activity or
11:48:49 25 communications occurring on such computers or connected

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:48:53 2 device consistent with the computer monitoring policy in
11:48:57 3 effect by the Probation office. As triggered by
11:48:58 4 impermissible suspicious activity, you shall consent to
11:49:07 5 and cooperate with unannounced examinations of any
11:49:08 6 computer equipment owned or used by you. This
11:49:11 7 examination shall include, but is not limited to,
11:49:14 8 retrieval and copying of all data from the computers,
11:49:18 9 connected devices, storage media and any internal or
11:49:22 10 external peripherals. And may involve removal of such
11:49:27 11 equipment for the purpose of conducting a more thorough
11:49:30 12 inspection. Any such monitoring or examinations shall
11:49:34 13 be designed to avoid, as much as possible, reading any
11:49:41 14 privileged information or any private material that is
11:49:43 15 not illegal or reasonably likely to lead to illegal
11:49:50 16 material or evidence related to illegal activity.

11:49:51 17 You shall provide the probation office with
11:49:53 18 access to any requested personal and/or business
11:49:56 19 financial information. The U.S. Probation office is
11:50:00 20 authorized to release presentence and post-sentence
11:50:03 21 financial information submitted by you to the U.S.
11:50:07 22 Attorney's Office for use in the collection of any
11:50:09 23 unpaid restitution. If while restitution is owed, you
11:50:13 24 shall notify the probation office of any assets
11:50:18 25 received. And shall not disperse your interest in any

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:50:21 2 assets, included, but not limited to, income tax
11:50:24 3 refunds, inheritance, insurance and lawsuit settlements,
11:50:27 4 or gambling winnings without the approval of the U.S.
11:50:31 5 Probation office. While a restitution balance is
11:50:33 6 outstanding, you shall not incur any debt, including,
11:50:36 7 but not limited to, use of existing credit cards, new
11:50:40 8 credit cards, lines of credit, mortgages or private
11:50:42 9 loans without the approval of the U.S. Probation office.

11:50:46 10 Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 3663(a) and
11:50:53 11 consistent with the stipulation, I'm ordering you to
11:50:56 12 make restitution to the victim MC in the amount of
11:51:02 13 \$8,249.70. That restitution is due immediately.
11:51:05 14 Interest is waived. While incarcerated, if you are
11:51:10 15 non-UNICOR or UNICOR grade five, you shall pay
11:51:13 16 installments of \$25 per quarter. If assigned grades one
11:51:18 17 through four in UNICOR, you shall make payments of 50
11:51:22 18 percent of your monthly pay. After considering the
11:51:24 19 factors set forth at 18 U.S.C. Section 3664(f)(2), while
11:51:30 20 on supervision, you shall make payments at the rate of
11:51:32 21 10 percent of your monthly gross income. In addition,
11:51:36 22 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 3664(n), while obligated to
11:51:41 23 provide restitution, if you receive resources from any
11:51:45 24 source, including inheritance, settlement, insurance
11:51:49 25 lawsuit or other judgment during a period of

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:51:54 2 incarceration, you're required to apply the value of
11:51:57 3 those resources to any outstanding restitution. I find
11:52:01 4 that you do not have the ability to pay a fine and
11:52:03 5 therefore any fine in this case is waived. You do have
11:52:06 6 to pay the mandatory \$100 special assessment. That is
11:52:09 7 due immediately. Payments shall be made under the
11:52:12 8 Bureau of Prisons Financial Responsibility Program and
11:52:14 9 made to the U.S. District Court in Buffalo, New York.

11:52:19 10 Now, you waive the right to appeal the
11:52:21 11 sentence that I just imposed, Mr. Loria, because it's
11:52:24 12 consistent with the terms of the plea agreement. But,
11:52:27 13 if you did want to attempt an appeal, you must file a
11:52:34 14 notice to appeal in within 14 days of the judgment is
11:52:39 15 entered in the case. And if you could not afford an
11:52:43 16 appeal, you would have the right to proceed in forma
11:52:43 17 pauperis.

11:52:48 18 Ms. Marangola, does the government move to
11:52:51 19 dismiss the underlying Criminal Complaint?

11:52:53 20 MS. MARANGOLA: Yes, the government so
11:52:54 21 moves.

11:52:54 22 THE COURT: Any questions or requests, Mr.
11:52:57 23 Foti?

11:52:57 24 MR. FOTI: I have nothing, Judge.

11:52:59 25 THE COURT: Do you have any request in terms

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:53:01 2 of where Mr. Loria is housed?

11:53:03 3 MR. FOTI: I would ask that it be the
11:53:06 4 lowest, that primarily, the primary concern would be
11:53:11 5 based on any classification.

11:53:15 6 THE COURT: I don't get involved with the
11:53:19 7 BOP classifications. If you have a request in terms of
11:53:25 8 geography, I'll make that.

11:53:26 9 MR. FOTI: I, ultimately, I would request
11:53:29 10 that he be located geographically as close to Rochester,
11:53:33 11 New York as possible. I only mention it because I never
11:53:36 12 want that to supersede a determination regarding level.
11:53:41 13 If there is a medium facility compared to a low
11:53:44 14 facility, obviously, geographic considerations I want to
11:53:49 15 be second.

11:53:50 16 THE COURT: So, you want me to make the
11:53:52 17 recommendation about geography or no?

11:53:56 18 MR. FOTI: Yes, I do.

11:53:57 19 THE COURT: Okay. So I'll make a
11:53:58 20 recommendation that Mr. Loria be housed in a facility as
11:54:01 21 close to Rochester, New York as possible.

11:54:05 22 Officer Fish, anything that I missed here?

11:54:08 23 PROBATION: Judge, nothing additional. I
11:54:10 24 just want to clarify one thing. With regard to the GPS
11:54:14 25 monitoring, does the Court want me to add that sentence

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:54:16 2 at the end about extending it or we leaving that off and
11:54:20 3 addressing it in six months?

11:54:22 4 THE COURT: We don't have to put it in the
11:54:24 5 written judgment. We stated it on the record here and
11:54:27 6 if once he is under supervision, he still wants to
11:54:34 7 either have it extended or if probation believes it
11:54:36 8 should be extended then it can always be brought to my
11:54:39 9 attention. But we don't have to include it in the
11:54:42 10 written judgment.

11:54:45 11 PROBATION: Okay. Perfect. Nothing
11:54:46 12 further, Judge.

11:54:47 13 THE COURT: Good luck to you, Mr. Loria.

11:54:50 14 THE DEFENDANT: Can I address the housing
11:54:51 15 issue?

11:54:52 16 THE COURT: Sure, go ahead.

11:54:53 17 THE DEFENDANT: I would like to be put in
11:55:01 18 the Bureau of Prisons, Butner has a good mental health
11:55:04 19 and so does Allenwood. These are facilities under the
11:55:08 20 Bureau of Prisons that I researched that deal with
11:55:12 21 people with psychological problems.

11:55:14 22 THE COURT: So you specifically want me to
11:55:16 23 recommend that you be housed at Butner or Allenwood.

11:55:20 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. Because, like I
11:55:22 25 said, they both in the guides they have special units

1 USA VS. T. LORIA

11:55:27 2 there to address people's mental health issues.

11:55:31 3 THE COURT: Mr. Foti?

11:55:32 4 MR. FOTI: I apologize. I wasn't aware of

11:55:36 5 that. He may have mentioned that to me previously. To

11:55:39 6 the extent that his request, that makes sense to me. I

11:55:42 7 join in the request.

11:55:43 8 THE COURT: All right. Officer Fish, let's

11:55:45 9 make the recommendations the judgment that Mr. Loria be

11:55:49 10 housed either at Butner or Allenwood in order to take

11:55:52 11 advantage of any mental health services. All right.

11:56:01 12 Anything else, Mr. Loria?

11:56:02 13 THE DEFENDANT: No. Thank you, ma'am, very

11:56:04 14 much.

11:56:04 15 THE COURT: Good luck to you.

11:56:05 16 MR. FOTI: Thank you, Judge.

11:56:06 17 THE COURT: Thank you.

18 * * *

19 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

20
21 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
22 of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled
23 matter.

24 S/ Karen J. Clark, RPR

25 Official Court Reporter

USA VS. T. LORIA